arXiv:1702.04416v1 [math.DS] 14 Feb 2017

DYNAMICAL CORRESPONDENCES OF L^2-BETTI NUMBERS

BINGBING LIANG

Abstract. We investigate dynamical analogues of the L^2-Betti numbers for modules over integral group ring of a discrete sofic group. In particular, we use them to introduce some invariants for algebraic actions. As an application, we give a dynamical characterization of Lück's dimension-flatness.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 4
2.1. Group rings 4
2.2. Relative von Neuman-Lück rank 5
2.3. Amenable and sofic groups 6
2.4. Relative mean dimension and relative mean rank 6
3. L^2-Betti number, j-th mean rank, and j-th mean dimension 8
4. Main Results 11
References 15

1. Introduction

There are a couple of connections established between invariants in dynamical systems, group rings, and L^2-invariants. These connections are obtained via a type of dynamical system called algebraic action. Given a discrete group Γ, each $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module $\mathcal{M}$ can be treated as an action of Γ on the discrete abelian group $\mathcal{M}$ by group automorphisms. The Pontryagin dual $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ naturally inherits an action of Γ on $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ by continuous automorphisms from the module structure of $\mathcal{M}$. Conversely, by Pontryagin duality, each action of Γ on a compact Hausdorff abelian group arise this way and thus we call such a dynamical system an *"algebraic action"* [35].

A surprising fact is that one can recover certain algebraic information about $\mathcal{M}$ by taking advantage of purely dynamical information about $\Gamma \curvearrowright \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$. However,

Date: December 20, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37B99, 16D10, 55N35, 22D25.
Key words and phrases. Sofic group, mean dimension, mean rank, L^2-Betti number, dimension-flatness.

the dynamical information itself does not use the algebraic structure of $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$. For example, Li and Thom showed that, in the setting of amenable group actions, the entropy of $\Gamma \curvearrowright \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ coincide with the L^2-torsion of $\mathcal{M}$ (see [28]). One ingredient of establishing this connection is Peters' algebraic characterization of entropy [32]. This correspondence has interesting applications to the vanishing results on L^2-torsion and Euler characteristic [7, 28]. In the same spirit, Li and the author showed that mean topological dimension of $\Gamma \curvearrowright \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ coincides with the von Neumann-Lück rank of $\mathcal{M}$ (see [25]). Establishing this correspondence relies on the study of the mean rank as an algebraic invariant of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules and Lück's result on dimension-flatness for amenable groups [31, Theorem 6.73]. Based on this connection, the mean dimension of algebraic actions for amenable groups is well understood [25].

Mean topological dimension is a newly-introduced dynamical invariant by Gromov [20], systematically studied by Lindenstrauss and Weiss [29], and remains to be further explored [8]. As a dynamical analogue of the covering dimension, it is closely related to topological entropy, and takes a crucial role in solving embedding problem of dynamical systems [13–18, 29].

On the other hand, using Lück's extended von Neumann dimension for any module over the group von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$ of a discrete group Γ (see [31, Chapter 6]), for any $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module $\mathcal{M}$ we call the von Neumann-Lück dimension for $\mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma} \mathcal{M}$ as the *von Neumann-Lück rank* $\mathrm{vrk}(\mathcal{M})$ of $\mathcal{M}$. Von Neumann-Lück dimension is a length function on $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$-modules [31, Theorem 6.7] and von Neumann-Lück rank is a length function on $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules when Γ is amenable [26, Definition 2.1] [25, Section 5.2] [27, Theorem 3.3.4].

Mean rank is also a length function on $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules of an amenable group Γ (see [25, Section 3]). As a dynamical analogue of the rank of abelian groups, it serves as a bridge connecting mean dimension and von Neumann-Lück rank.

Towards more general groups, Bowen and Kerr-Li developed an entropy theory based on the idea of approximating the dynamical data by external finite models if the acting group can be approximated by finite groups [1, 23]. The groups admitting this approximation are the so-called *sofic groups*, which include residually finite groups and amenable groups [19, 37]. The sofic entropy extends the classic notion but no longer decreases when passing to a factor system. Similarly mean dimension has been extended to the case of sofic group actions [24]. To deal with this non-amenable phenomenon, Li and the author introduced the relative sofic invariants, established an alternative addition formula, and used them to relate mean dimension with von Neumann-Lück rank for sofic groups [26]. In addition, the tool of relative sofic mean rank has deep application in Kaplansky's direct finiteness conjecture [26, Theorem 1.2]. Using microstates technique, Hayes proved that von Neumann-Lück rank of a countable $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module $\mathcal{M}$ concide with sofic mean dimension of $\Gamma \curvearrowright \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ under certain conditions [21].

Via a projective resolution of any $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module $\mathcal{M}$, we can treat von Neumann-Lück rank of $\mathcal{M}$ as the 0-th L^2-Betti number $\beta_0^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$ of $\mathcal{M}$. From [26, Theorem 1.3], we know the sofic mean dimension $\mathrm{mdim}_\Sigma(\widehat{\mathcal{M}})$ of $\Gamma \curvearrowright \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ correspondences to $\beta_0^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$ when Γ is a countable sofic group and $\mathcal{M}$ is countable. Here Σ is a fixed sofic approximation sequence for Γ. Hanfeng Li asked the following question.

Question 1.1. If Γ is sofic, what dynamical invariants of $\Gamma \curvearrowright \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ correspond to j-th L^2-Betti number $\beta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$ of $\mathcal{M}$ for $j \geq 1$?

To answer this question, we introduce the j-th mean dimension $\mathrm{mdim}_j(\widehat{\mathcal{M}})$ of $\Gamma \curvearrowright \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ and j-th mean rank $\mathrm{mrk}_j(\mathcal{M})$ of $\mathcal{M}$ (Definition 3.4 and Definition 3.1). The definitions are based on the notion of relative sofic invariants and formulated with the help of a projective resolution of $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ and $\Gamma \curvearrowright Y$ be two algebraic actions and $\varphi : X \to Y$ be a Γ-equivariant homeomorphism. We say φ is an *algebraic conjugacy* if φ is a group isomorphism.

Theorem 1.2. *When* $\mathrm{mrk}_j(\mathcal{M})$ *is defined, we have* $\mathrm{mrk}_j(\mathcal{M}) = \beta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$. *If furthermore* $\mathcal{M}$ *is countable, we have* $\mathrm{mdim}_j(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}) = \beta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$. *In particular, j-th mean dimension is an invariant of algebraic conjugacy.*

More generally, we can study L^2-Betti numbers $\beta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{C}_*)$ of a chain complex $\mathcal{C}_*$ of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules:

$$\cdots \to C_1 \to C_0 \to 0.$$

Correspondingly, we have the j-th mean rank of $\mathrm{mrk}_j(\mathcal{C}_*)$ of $\mathcal{C}_*$ and the j-th mean dimension $\mathrm{mdim}_j(\mathcal{C}_*)$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{C}_*} := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{C}_*, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$.

Let X and Y be two compact metrizable abelian groups carrying Γ-actions by continuous automorphisms. Let $\pi : X \to Y$ be a Γ-equivariant continuous homomorphism. We say π satisfies *Juzvinskiĭ formula for mean dimension* if $\mathrm{mdim}_\Sigma(X) = \mathrm{mdim}_\Sigma(\ker\ \pi) + \mathrm{mdim}_\Sigma(\mathrm{im}\ \ \pi)$.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that* $\mathrm{vrk}(C_j) < \infty$ *for some* $j \geq 0$, *then* $\beta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{C}_*) = \mathrm{mrk}_j(\mathcal{C}_*)$ *If furthermore C_j and C_{j-1} are countable, we have* $\mathrm{mrk}_j(\mathcal{C}_*) = \mathrm{mdim}_j(\widehat{\mathcal{C}_*})$. *In particular, if* $\mathrm{im}\ \ \partial_{j+1} = \ker\ \partial_j$, *we have that* $\widehat{\partial_j}$ *satisfies Juzvinskiĭ formula for mean dimension if and only if* $\beta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{C}_*) = 0$.

This theorem provides an equivalent algebraic definition of L^2-Betti numbers from module theory. In [11], Elek introduced an analogue of the L^2-Betti numbers for amenable linear subshifts. It was showed that Juzvinskiĭ formula for entropy can fail when the group Γ has nonzero Euler characteristic [10]. Hayes proved that Juzvinskiĭ formula for entropy fails when Γ has nonzero L^2-torsion [22]. Gaboriau and Seward showed some work relating Juzvinskiĭ formula for entropy with L^2-Betti numbers [12]. Bowen and Gutman established Juzvinskiĭ formula for the f-invariant of finitely generated free group actions [2].

As an application, we give a dynamical characterization of Lück's dimension-flatness, which is related to the relativity phenomenon for sofic invariants. We say Γ satisfies *Lück's dimension-flatness* if $\beta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$ vanishes for any $j \geq 1$ and $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module $\mathcal{M}$. It was proven that amenable groups satisfy Lück's dimension-flatness [31, Theorem 6.37]. We say Γ satisfies *Juzvinskiĭ formula for mean rank* if $\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\ker\varphi) + \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathrm{im}\ \varphi)$ for any $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$. It is similarly defined when we talk about whether Γ satisfies Juzvinskiĭ formula for mean dimension.

Corollary 1.4. *Let Γ be a sofic group. Then Γ satisfies Lück's dimension-flatness if and only if Γ satisfies Juzvinskiĭ formula for mean rank. In particular, if $\beta_j^{(2)}(\Gamma) > 0$ for some $j \geq 1$, then Γ fails Juzvinskiĭ formula for mean rank and mean dimension.*

We show that taking subgroups respects the property of Lück's dimension-flatness in Proposition 4.4. As a consequence, if $\beta_j^{(2)}(\Gamma) > 0$ for some $j \geq 1$ for some subgroup H of Γ and $j \geq 1$, then Γ fails Juzvinskiĭ formula for mean dimension [21, Proposition 7.2] [22, Corollary 6.24] [12, Theorem 6.3].

Lück cojectured that a group is amenable if and only if it satisfies *Lück's dimension-flatness* [31, Conjecture 6.48]. Based on Corollary 1.4, the conjecture can be reformulated as follows.

Conjecture 1.5. A sofic group is amenable if and only if satisfies Juzvinskiĭ formula for mean dimension.

This paper is organized as follows. We recall some background knowledge in Section 2. In Section 3 we introduce the j-th mean rank, j-th mean dimension, and establish some basic properties. We give the prove of the main results in Section 4 and show some applications.

Throughout this paper, Γ will be a countable discrete group. For any set S, we denote by $\mathcal{F}(S)$ the set of all nonempty finite subsets of S. All modules are assumed to be left modules unless specified. For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we write $[d]$ for the set $\{1, \cdots, d\}$ and $\mathrm{Sym}(d)$ for the permutation group of $[d]$.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Lewis Bowen, Fabian Henneke, Yonatan Gutman, Yang Liu, Yongle Jiang, Wolfgang Lück, Jianchao Wu, and Xiaolei Wu for helpful discussions and comments. The author is supported by Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Group rings.** The *integral group ring of* Γ, denoted by $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$, consists of all finitely supported functions $f : \Gamma \to \mathbb{Z}$. We shall write f as $\sum_{s\in\Gamma} f_s s$, where $f_s \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $s \in \Gamma$ and $f_s = 0$ for all except finitely many $s \in \Gamma$. The algebraic operations on $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ are defined by

$$\sum_{s\in\Gamma} f_s s + \sum_{s\in\Gamma} g_s s = \sum_{s\in\Gamma}(f_s + g_s)s, \text{ and } \big(\sum_{s\in\Gamma} f_s s\big)\big(\sum_{t\in\Gamma} g_t t\big) = \sum_{s,t\in\Gamma} f_s g_t (st).$$

We similarly have the product if one of f and g sits in $\mathbb{Z}^\Gamma$ and the situation for $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ is similarly defined.

For any countable $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module $\mathcal{M}$, treated as a discrete abelian group, its Pontryagin dual $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ consisting of all continuous group homomorphisms $\mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, coincides with $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$. By Pontryagin duality, $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ is a compact metrizable space under compact-open topology. Furthermore, the $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module structure of $\mathcal{M}$ naturally induces an adjoint action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ by continuous automorphisms. To be precise,

$$\langle s\chi, u\rangle := \langle \chi, s^{-1}x\rangle$$

for all $\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}, u \in \mathcal{M}$, and $s \in \Gamma$.

2.2. **Relative von Neuman-Lück rank.** Let $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ be the Hilbert space of square summable functions $f : \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}$, i.e. $\sum_{s\in\Gamma} |f_s|^2 < +\infty$. Then Γ has two canonical commuting unitary representations on $\ell^2(\Gamma)$, namely the *left regular representation* λ and the *right regular representation* ρ defined by

$$\lambda(s)(x) = sx, \text{ and } \rho(s)(x) = xs^{-1}$$

for all $x \in \ell^2(\Gamma)$ and $s \in \Gamma$. Here we treat Γ as a subset of $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$. The *(left) group von Neumann algebra* of Γ, denoted by $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$, consists of all bounded linear operators $\ell^2(\Gamma) \to \ell^2(\Gamma)$ commuting with $\rho(s)$ for all $s \in \Gamma$.

Denote by δ_{e_Γ} the unit vector of $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ being 1 at the identity element e_Γ of Γ, and 0 everywhere else. The canonical *trace* on $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$ is the linear functional $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} : \mathcal{L}\Gamma \to \mathbb{C}$ given by $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(T) = \langle T\delta_{e_\Gamma}, \delta_{e_\Gamma}\rangle$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the extension of $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}$ to $M_n(\mathcal{L}\Gamma)$ sending $(T_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le n}$ to $\sum_{j=1}^n \mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(T_{j,j})$ will still be denoted by $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}$.

For any finitely generated projective $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$-module $\mathbb{P}$, one has $\mathbb{P} \cong (\mathcal{L}\Gamma)^{1\times n}P$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $P \in M_n(\mathcal{L}\Gamma)$ with $P^2 = P$. The *von Neumann dimension* of $\mathbb{P}$ is defined as

$$\dim'_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\mathbb{P}) := \mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}P \in [0, n],$$

and does not depend on the choice of n and P. For an arbitrary $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$-module $\mathbb{M}$, its *von Neumann-Lück dimension* [31, Definition 6.6] is defined as

$$\dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\mathbb{M}) := \sup_{\mathbb{P}} \dim'_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\mathbb{P}),$$

for $\mathbb{P}$ ranging over all finitely generated projective $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$-submodules of $\mathbb{M}$.

The following theorem collects the fundamental properties of the von Neumann-Lück dimension [31, Theorem 6.7].

Theorem 2.1. $\dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}$ *extends* $\dim'_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}$ *and is a length function on* $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$*-modules with* $\dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\mathcal{L}\Gamma) = 1$.

Definition 2.2. For any $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2$, the *von Neumann-Lück rank of* $\mathcal{M}_1$ *relative to* $\mathcal{M}_2$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{vrk}(\mathcal{M}_1|\mathcal{M}_2) := \dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\mathrm{im}\ 1 \otimes i),$$

where $1 \otimes i$ is the natural map $\mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{M}_2$.

Note that when $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_2$, we have $\mathrm{vrk}(\mathcal{M}_1) = \mathrm{vrk}(\mathcal{M}_1|\mathcal{M}_2)$.

2.3. **Amenable and sofic groups.** The group Γ is called *amenable* if for any $K \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ and any $\delta > 0$ there is a $F \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ with $|KF \setminus F| < \delta|F|$.

A sequence of maps $\Sigma = \{\sigma_i : \Gamma \to \mathrm{Sym}(d_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is called a *sofic approximation* for Γ if it satisfies:

(1) $\lim_{i\to\infty} |\{v \in [d_i] : \sigma_{i,s}\sigma_{i,t}(v) = \sigma_{i,st}(v)\}|/d_i = 1$ for all $s, t \in \Gamma$,
(2) $\lim_{i\to\infty} |\{v \in [d_i] : \sigma_{i,s}(v) \neq \sigma_{i,t}(v)\}|/d_i = 1$ for all distinct $s, t \in \Gamma$,
(3) $\lim_{i\to\infty} d_i = +\infty$.

The group Γ is called a *sofic group* if it admits a sofic approximation.

Any amenable group is sofic since one can use a sequence of asymptotically-invariant subsets of the amenable group, i.e. *Følner sequence*, to construct a sofic approximation. Residually finite groups are also sofic since a sequence of the finite-index subgroups naturally induce a sofic approximation which are actually group homomorphisms. We refer the reader to [4, 6] for more information on sofic groups.

Throughout the rest of this paper, Γ will be a countable sofic group, and $\Sigma = \{\sigma_i : \Gamma \to \mathrm{Sym}(d_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ will be a sofic approximation for Γ.

2.4. **Relative mean dimension and relative mean rank.** We first recall the notion of the covering dimension. For any finite open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of a compact metrizable space Z, denote the overlapping number of $\mathcal{U}$ by $\mathrm{ord}(\mathcal{U})$, i.e. $\mathrm{ord}(\mathcal{U}) = \max_{x\in X} \sum_{U\in\mathcal{U}} 1_U(x) - 1$. Set

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{U}) = \inf_{\mathcal{V}} \mathrm{ord}(\mathcal{V})$$

for $\mathcal{V}$ ranging over all finite open covers of Z finer than $\mathcal{U}$, i.e. each element of $\mathcal{V}$ is contained in some element of $\mathcal{U}$. Then the *covering dimension* of Z is defined as $\sup_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{U})$ for $\mathcal{U}$ ranging over all finite open covers of Z.

Let Γ act continuously on a compact metrizable space X.

Definition 2.3. Let ρ be a compatible metric on X. For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a compatible metric on X^d defined by

$$\rho_2(\varphi, \psi) = (\frac{1}{d} \sum_{v\in[d]} \rho(\varphi_v, \psi_v)^2)^{1/2},$$

Let σ be a map from Γ to $\mathrm{Sym}(d)$, $F \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ and $\delta > 0$. The set of approximately equivariant maps $\mathrm{Map}(\rho, F, \delta, \sigma)$ is defined to be the set of all maps $\varphi : [d] \to X$ such that $\rho_2(s\varphi, \varphi s) \leq \delta$.

Now let Γ act on another compact metrizable space Y and $\pi : X \to Y$ be a surjective Γ-equivariant map. Denote by $\mathrm{Map}(\pi, \rho, F, \delta, \sigma)$ the set of all $\pi \circ \varphi$ for φ ranging in $\mathrm{Map}(\rho, F, \delta, \sigma)$. Note that $\mathrm{Map}(\pi, \rho, F, \delta, \sigma)$ is a closed subset of Y^d. For any finite open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of Y, denote by $\mathcal{U}^d$ the open cover of Y^d consisting of

$\Pi_{v\in[d]}U_v$, where each U_v sits in $\mathcal{U}$. Restricting $\mathcal{U}^d$ to $\mathrm{Map}(\pi,\rho,F,\delta,\sigma)$, we obtain a finite open cover $\mathcal{U}^d|_{\mathrm{Map}(\pi,\rho,F,\delta,\sigma)} := \{U\cap \mathrm{Map}(\pi,\rho,F,\delta,\sigma)\}_{U\in\mathcal{U}^d}$ of $\mathrm{Map}(\pi,\rho,F,\delta,\sigma)$.

Definition 2.4. For any finite open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of Y we define

$$\mathrm{mdim}_\Sigma(\pi,\mathcal{U},\rho,F,\delta)=\varlimsup_{i\to\infty}\frac{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{U}^d|_{\mathrm{Map}(\pi,\rho,F,\delta,\sigma_i)})}{d_i}.$$

If $\mathrm{Map}(\rho,F,\delta,\sigma_i)$ is empty for all sufficiently large i, we set $\mathrm{mdim}_\Sigma(\pi,\mathcal{U},\rho,F,\delta)=-\infty$. We define the *mean topological dimension of* $\Gamma\curvearrowright Y$ *relative to the extension* $\Gamma\curvearrowright X$ as

$$\mathrm{mdim}_\Sigma(Y|X):=\sup_{\mathcal{U}}\inf_{F\in\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)}\inf_{\delta>0}\mathrm{mdim}_\Sigma(\pi,\mathcal{U},\rho,F,\delta),$$

where $\mathcal{U}$ ranges over finite open covers of Y. By a similar argument as in [24, Lemma 2.9], we know $\mathrm{mdim}_\Sigma(Y|X)$ does not depend on the choice of ρ. We define the *sofc mean topological dimension of* $\Gamma\curvearrowright X$ as

$$\mathrm{mdim}_\Sigma(X):=\mathrm{mdim}_\Sigma(X|X)$$

for $\pi:X\to X$ being the identity map.

Example 2.5. Let $\mathcal{M}_1\subseteq\mathcal{M}_2$ be countable $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules. Then the induced map $\widehat{\mathcal{M}_2}\to\widehat{\mathcal{M}_1}$ is a surjective Γ-equivariant map of compact metrizable spaces. Thus $\mathrm{mdim}_\Sigma(\widehat{\mathcal{M}_1}|\widehat{\mathcal{M}_2})$ is well-defined.

Now we recall the notion of the relative mean rank. For any $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module $\mathcal{M}$, denote by $\mathscr{F}(\mathcal{M})$ the set of finitely generated abelian subgroups of $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathscr{A},\mathscr{B}\in\mathscr{F}(\mathcal{M}),F\in\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$, and σ be a map from Γ to $\mathrm{Sym}(d)$ for some $d\in\mathbb{N}$. Denote by $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{A},\mathscr{B},F,\sigma)$ the image of $\mathscr{A}^d$ in $\mathcal{M}^d/\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{B},F,\sigma)$ under the quotient map $\mathcal{M}^d\to\mathcal{M}^d/\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{B},F,\sigma)$. Here $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{B},F,\sigma)$ denotes the abelian subgroup of $\mathcal{M}^d\cong\mathbb{Z}^d\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{M}$ generated by the elements $\delta_v\otimes b-\delta_{sv}\otimes sb$ for all $v\in[d],b\in\mathscr{B}$, and $s\in F$.

Definition 2.6. Let $\mathcal{M}_1\subseteq\mathcal{M}_2$ be $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules. For any $\mathscr{A}\in\mathscr{F}(\mathcal{M}_1),\mathscr{B}\in\mathscr{F}(\mathcal{M}_2)$, and $F\in\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$, set

$$\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathscr{A}|\mathscr{B},F)=\varlimsup_{i\to\infty}\frac{\mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{A},\mathscr{B},F,\sigma_i))}{d_i}.$$

We define the *mean rank of* $\mathcal{M}_1$ *relative to* $\mathcal{M}_2$ as

$$\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1|\mathcal{M}_2)=\sup_{\mathscr{A}\in\mathscr{F}(\mathcal{M}_1)}\inf_{F\in\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)}\inf_{\mathscr{B}\in\mathscr{F}(\mathcal{M}_2)}\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathscr{A}|\mathscr{B},F).$$

The *sofic mean rank* of $\mathcal{M}_1$ is then defined as

$$\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1):=\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1|\mathcal{M}_1).$$

Applying [26, Theorem 1.1],[26, Theorem 7.2], [26, Theorem 10.1], and running a similar argument as in the proof of [26, Proposition 8.5] for relative mean rank, we have

Theorem 2.7. *For any $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2$, we have* $\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1|\mathcal{M}_2) = \mathrm{vrk}(\mathcal{M}_1|\mathcal{M}_2)$ *and*

$$\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_2) = \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1|\mathcal{M}_2) + \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_2/\mathcal{M}_1).$$

If $\mathcal{M}_2$ is countable, we have $\mathrm{mdim}_\Sigma(\widehat{\mathcal{M}_1}|\widehat{\mathcal{M}_2}) = \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1|\mathcal{M}_2)$.

The following proposition collects basic properties of the sofic mean rank [26, Section 3].

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ be $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules. The following are true.*

(1) $\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma) = 1$.
(2) $\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1|\mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2) = \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1)$ *and* $\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2) = \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1) + \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_2)$.
(3) If $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2$ and $\mathcal{M}_1$ is the union of an increasing net of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-submodules $\{\mathcal{M}'_j\}_{j\in\mathcal{J}}$, then $\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}'_j|\mathcal{M}_2) \nearrow \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1|\mathcal{M}_2)$. *If furthermore* $\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_2) < \infty$, *then* $\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_2/\mathcal{M}'_j) \searrow \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_2/\mathcal{M}_1)$.
(4) Assume that $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2$, $\mathcal{M}_1$ is finitely generated, and $\mathcal{M}_2$ is the union of an increasing net of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-submodules $\{\mathcal{M}'_j\}_{j\in\mathcal{J}}$ of $\mathcal{M}_2$ containing $\mathcal{M}_1$. Then $\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1|\mathcal{M}'_j) \searrow \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1|\mathcal{M}_2)$.

3. L^2-Betti number, j-th mean rank, and j-th mean dimension

Let $\mathcal{C}_*$ be a chain complex of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0 \to 0 (= C_{-1}).$$

Applying the covariant tensor functor $\mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma} \cdot$, we get a chain complex $\mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma} \mathcal{C}_*$ of $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$-modules:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{1\otimes\partial_2} \mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes C_1 \xrightarrow{1\otimes\partial_1} \mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes C_0 \to 0;$$

applying the contravariant Pontryagin dual functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\cdot, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) := \widehat{\cdot}$, we get a chain complex $\widehat{\mathcal{C}_*}$ of compact abelian groups carrying Γ-equivariant actions by continuous automorphisms:

$$\cdots \xleftarrow{\widehat{\partial_2}} \widehat{C_1} \xleftarrow{\widehat{\partial_1}} \widehat{C_0} \longleftarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is an injective $\mathbb{Z}$-module, when $\mathrm{im}\ \partial_2 = \ker \partial_1$, we have $\ker \widehat{\partial_2} = \mathrm{im}\ \widehat{\partial_1}$.

Definition 3.1. For each $j \geq 0$, the *j-th L^2-Betti numbers of* $\mathcal{C}_*$ is defined as

$$\beta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{C}_*) = \dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} H_j(\mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma} \mathcal{C}_*).$$

If $\mathrm{vrk}(C_j) < \infty$ for all $j \geq 1$ and $C_j = 0$ as j is large enough, we define the *Euler characteristic* of $\mathcal{C}_*$ as

$$\chi(\mathcal{C}_*) = \sum_{j\geq 0} (-1)^j \mathrm{vrk}(C_j).$$

When $\mathrm{vrk}(C_j) < \infty$, we define the *$j$-th mean rank of* $\mathcal{C}_*$ as

$$\mathrm{mrk}_j(\mathcal{C}_*) = \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathrm{coker}\ \partial_{j+1}) - \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathrm{im}\ \partial_j | C_{j-1}).$$

If furthermore C_j and C_{j-1} are countable, we define the *j-th mean topological dimension of* $\mathcal{C}_*$ as

$$\mathrm{mdim}_j(\widehat{\mathcal{C}_*}) = \mathrm{mdim}_\Sigma(\ker \widehat{\partial_{j+1}}) - \mathrm{mdim}_\Sigma(\mathrm{im}\ \widehat{\partial_j|C_{j-1}}).$$

Remark 3.2. (1) When $\mathcal{C}_*$ is a chain complex of $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$-modules, since $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ is flat as a $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module, we have $\beta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{C}_*) = \dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} H_j(\mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\Gamma} \mathcal{C}_*)$, which extends the definition of L^2-Betti numbers for chain complexes of $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$-modules [31, Definition1.16, Theorem 6.24].

(2) By Theorem 2.7, we know that the j-th mean rank and j-th mean dimension are well defined.

(3) Wall gave some criteria when a chain complex of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ can be realized as the chain complex of a Γ-CW complex [36, Theorem 2].

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}_*$ be a chain complex of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules: $0 \to C_k \to \cdots \to C_0 \to 0$. If $\mathrm{vrk}(C_j) < \infty$ for all $j \geq 1$, then*

$$\sum_{0\leq j\leq k} (-1)^j \beta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{C}_*) = \chi(\mathcal{C}_*) = \sum_{0\leq j\leq k} (-1)^j \mathrm{mrk}_j(\mathcal{C}_*).$$

Proof. The first equality follows from the addition formula for von Neumann-Lück dimension. We show the second equality.

Apply Theorem 2.7 to the $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules $\mathrm{im}\ \partial_{j+1} \subseteq C_j$ for all $0 \leq j \leq k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{0\leq j\leq k} (-1)^j \mathrm{mrk}_j(\mathcal{C}_*) \\
&= \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathrm{coker}\ \partial_1) + \sum_{1\leq j\leq k} (-1)^j (\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathrm{coker}\ \partial_{j+1}) - \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathrm{im}\ \partial_j|C_{j-1})) \\
&= \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(C_0) - \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathrm{im}\ \partial_1|C_0) + \sum_{1\leq j\leq k} (-1)^j (\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(C_j) - \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathrm{im}\ \partial_{j+1}|C_j) \\
&\quad - \sum_{1\leq j\leq k} (-1)^j \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathrm{im}\ \partial_j|C_{j-1})) \\
&= \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(C_0) - \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathrm{im}\ \partial_1|C_0) + \sum_{1\leq j\leq k} (-1)^j (\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(C_j) - \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathrm{im}\ \partial_{j+1}|C_j) \\
&\quad + \sum_{0\leq j\leq k-1} (-1)^j \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathrm{im}\ \partial_{j+1}|C_j)) \\
&= \sum_{0\leq j\leq k} (-1)^j \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(C_j) \\
&= \sum_{0\leq j\leq k} (-1)^j \mathrm{vrk}(C_j) = \chi(\mathcal{C}_*).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module. A projective resolution of $\mathcal{M}$ is an exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow 0$$

in which each C_j is a projective $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module. Denote by $\mathcal{C}_*$ its deleted projective resolution

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0 \longrightarrow 0,$$

which is a chain complex of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules and we denote by $\mathcal{C}_*$. We similarly have the notion of free resolution. Apply the notion of free module, we know that any $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module admits a free resolution [34, Proposition 10.32].

Definition 3.4. For each $j \geq 0$, the *j-th L^2-Betti number of* $\mathcal{M}$ is defined as

$$\beta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{M}) := \beta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{C}_*).$$

The *j-th L^2-Betti number* $\beta_j^{(2)}(\Gamma)$ *of* Γ is defined as the j-th L^2-Betti number of the trivial $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module $\mathbb{Z}$.

If $\mathrm{vrk}(C_j) < \infty$ for all $j \geq 1$ and $C_j = 0$ as j is large enough, we define the *Euler characteristic* of $\mathcal{M}$ as

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}) := \chi(\mathcal{C}_*).$$

When $\mathrm{vrk}(C_j) < \infty$ for some $j \geq 0$, we define the *j-th mean rank of* $\mathcal{M}$ as

$$\mathrm{mrk}_j(\mathcal{M}) := \mathrm{mrk}_j(\mathcal{C}_*).$$

If furthermore $\mathcal{M}$ is countable, we can choose $\mathcal{C}_*$ such that each C_j for $j \geq 0$ is countable and define the *j-th mean topological dimension of* $\mathcal{M}$ as

$$\mathrm{mdim}_j(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}) := \mathrm{mdim}_j(\widehat{\mathcal{C}_*}).$$

Remark 3.5. In fact, $\beta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$ can be treated as the von Neumann-Lück dimension of $\mathrm{tor}_j^{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma}(\mathcal{L}\Gamma, \mathcal{M})$ (see [34, Page 836] for definition). Based on Comparison Theorem for projective resolutions [34, Theorem 10.46], any two projective resolutions of $\mathcal{M}$ are homotopy equivalent, we know that $\beta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$ does not depend on the choice of projective resolutions [34, Corollary 10.51]. We refer the read to [3, Chapter VIII] for discussions on when a $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module admits a "small" projective resolution.

Proposition 3.6. *For any* $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$*-module* $\mathcal{M}$*, we have* $\beta_0^{(2)}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{vrk}(\mathcal{M})$ *and* $\mathrm{mrk}_0(\mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M})$*. When* $\mathcal{M}$ *is countable, we have* $\mathrm{mdim}_0(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}) = \mathrm{mdim}_\Sigma(\widehat{\mathcal{M}})$*.*

Proof. From the exactness, we have

$$\mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes C_0/\mathrm{im}\ \ 1 \otimes \partial_1 = \mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes C_0/\ker\ 1 \otimes \partial_0 \cong \mathrm{im}\ \ 1 \otimes \partial_0 = \mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{M}$$

and

$$C_0/\mathrm{im}\ \ \partial_1 = C_0/\ker\ \partial_0 \cong \mathrm{im}\ \ \partial_0 = \mathcal{M}.$$

So by definition, we have

$$\beta_0^{(2)}(\mathcal{M}) = \dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes C_0/\mathrm{im}\ \ 1 \otimes \partial_1) = \dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{vrk}(\mathcal{M})$$

and

$$\mathrm{mrk}_0(\mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathrm{coker}\ \partial_1) = \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(C_0/\mathrm{im}\ \ \partial_1) = \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}).$$

From the exactness, we have $\ker \widehat{\partial_1} = \operatorname{im} \widehat{\partial_0} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$. So when $\mathcal{M}$ is countable, we have

$$\operatorname{mdim}_0(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}) = \operatorname{mdim}_\Sigma(\ker \widehat{\partial_1}) = \operatorname{mdim}_\Sigma(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}).$$

□

Example 3.7. Let $\Gamma = \mathbb{F}_2$ be the free group with generators a and b. Set $f = (a-1, b-1)^T \in (\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^{2\times 1}$. Then $\mathcal{M} := (\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^{1\times 1}/(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^{1\times 2} f$ has the following free resolution:

$$0 \to (\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^{1\times 2} \xrightarrow{R(f)} (\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^{1\times 1} \to \mathcal{M} \to 0,$$

where $R(f)$ sends x to xf. By Proposition 3.6 and [25, Lemma 5.4], $\beta_0^{(2)}(\mathcal{M}) = \operatorname{vrk}(\mathcal{M}) = \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(P_{\ker\ L(f)})$, where $L(f)$ sends y to fy. Apply Linnell's result on strong Atiyah's conjecture [31, Theorem 10.19], $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(P_{\ker\ L(f)}) \in \{0, 1\}$. But $L(f) \neq 0$, so $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(P_{\ker\ L(f)}) = 0$. Thus $\beta_0^{(2)}(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ (Alternatively, $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ as the trivial $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module, so by Theorem 2.7 and [26, Proposition 3.6], $\beta_0^{(2)}(\mathcal{M}) = \operatorname{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$). By Proposition 3.3, we have $\beta_1^{(2)}(\mathcal{M}) = \dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} \ker 1 \otimes R(f) = \beta_0^{(2)}(\mathcal{M}) - \chi(\mathcal{M}) = 0 - (1-2) = 1$. This example is essentially the same as $0 \to \ker\ \varepsilon \to \mathbb{Z}\Gamma \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \to 0$, where ε is the argumentation map. See [9, Chapter IV, Theorem 2.12] for a characterization when $\ker \varepsilon$ is a projective $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module.

4. Main Results

Lemma 4.1. *Let $C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0$ be two homomorphisms $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules such that $\partial_1 \circ \partial_2 = 0$. Suppose that $\operatorname{vrk}(C_1) < \infty$. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\ker 1 \otimes \partial_1 / \operatorname{im}\ 1 \otimes \partial_2) = \operatorname{mrk}_\Sigma(\operatorname{coker}\ \partial_2) - \operatorname{mrk}_\Sigma(\operatorname{im}\ \partial_1 | C_0).$$

If furthermore C_1 and C_0 are countable, we have

$$\operatorname{mrk}_\Sigma(\operatorname{coker}\ \partial_2) - \operatorname{mrk}_\Sigma(\operatorname{im}\ \partial_1 | C_0) = \operatorname{mdim}_\Sigma(\ker \widehat{\partial_2}) - \operatorname{mdim}_\Sigma(\operatorname{im}\ \widehat{\partial_1} | \widehat{C_0}).$$

Proof. For any subgroup H of a discrete abelian group G, denote by $H^\perp$ the elements of $\widehat{G}$ which vanish on H. By Pontryagin duality, we have $H^\perp \cong \widehat{G/H}$. Thus

$$\ker \widehat{\partial_2} = \{\chi \in \widehat{C_2} : \chi \circ \partial_2 = 0\} = (\operatorname{im}\ \partial_2)^\perp \cong \widehat{C_2 / \operatorname{im}\ \partial_2} = \widehat{\operatorname{coker}\ \partial_2}.$$

By definition, we have $\operatorname{mdim}_\Sigma(\operatorname{im}\ \widehat{\partial_1} | \widehat{C_0}) = \operatorname{mdim}_\Sigma(\widehat{\operatorname{im}\ \partial_1} | \widehat{C_0})$. Thus by Theorem 2.7, it suffices to show the first equality.

Denote by $\overline{\partial_j}$ the injective $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module homomorphism from $C_j/\ker \partial_j$ to C_{j-1} sending $x + \ker \partial_j$ to $\partial_j(x)$ for $j = 1, 2$. Since the function $\dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\cdot)$ is additive, by Theorem 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\ker 1 \otimes \partial_1 / \operatorname{im}\ 1 \otimes \partial_2) \\
&= \dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\ker 1 \otimes \partial_1) - \dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\operatorname{im}\ 1 \otimes \partial_2) \\
&= \dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes C_1) - \dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\operatorname{im}\ 1 \otimes \partial_1) - \dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\operatorname{im}\ 1 \otimes \partial_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes C_1) - \dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\text{im } 1 \otimes \overline{\partial_1}) - \dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\text{im } 1 \otimes \overline{\partial_2}) \\
&= \text{mrk}_\Sigma(C_1) - \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\text{im } \overline{\partial_2}|C_1) - \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\text{im } \overline{\partial_1}|C_0) \\
&= \text{mrk}_\Sigma(C_1) - \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\text{im } \partial_2|C_1) - \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\text{im } \partial_1|C_0) \\
&= \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\text{coker } \partial_2) - \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\text{im } \partial_1|C_0).
\end{aligned}
$$

□

The theorem 1.2 follows when we apply Theorem 1.3 to a projective resolution of a $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module $\mathcal{M}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Applying Lemma 4.1 to $\mathcal{C}_*$ at C_j, the first and the second statements follow.

When $\mathcal{C}_*$ is exact at C_j, we have $\ker \widehat{\partial_{j+1}} = \text{im } \widehat{\partial_j}$. Thus

$$\text{mdim}_j(\widehat{C_*}) = \text{mdim}_\Sigma(\text{im } \widehat{\partial_j}) - \text{mdim}_\Sigma(\text{im } \widehat{\partial_j}|\widehat{C_{j-1}}).$$

From Theorem 2.7, we have $\text{mdim}_\Sigma(\widehat{C_{j-1}}) = \text{mdim}_\Sigma(\ker \widehat{\partial_j}) + \text{mdim}_\Sigma(\text{im } \widehat{\partial_j}|\widehat{C_{j-1}})$. Thus $\widehat{\partial_j}$ satisfies Juzvinskiĭ formula for mean dimension is equivalent to $\text{mdim}_j(\widehat{C_*})$ and is therefore equivalent to $\beta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{C}_*) = 0$. □

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that* $\text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1|\mathcal{M}_2) = \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1)$ *holds when* $\mathcal{M}_2$ *is a finitely generated free* $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$*-module and* $\mathcal{M}_1$ *is finitely generated* $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$*-submodule of* $\mathcal{M}_2$*. Then* $\text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1|\mathcal{M}_2) = \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1)$ *holds for any* $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$*-modules* $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2$*. In particular,* Γ *satisfies Juzvinskiĭ formula for mean rank if and only if* $\text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1|\mathcal{M}_2) = \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1)$ *holds when* $\mathcal{M}_2$ *is a finitely generated free* $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$*-module and* $\mathcal{M}_1$ *is finitely generated* $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$*-submodule of* $\mathcal{M}_2$*.*

Proof. **Case 1.** Both $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ are finitely generated.

Write $\mathcal{M}_2$ as $\mathcal{M}_2 = (\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^n/\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{M}_1$ as $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_1'/\mathcal{N}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-submodules $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1' \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^n$. Write $\mathcal{N}$ as the union of an increasing net of finitely generated submodules $\{\mathcal{N}_j\}_{j\in\mathcal{J}}$ of $\mathcal{N}$. Note that for each j, by Proposition 2.8, we have

$$\text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{N}_j) = \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{N}_j|\mathcal{N}_j) \geq \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{N}_j|\mathcal{M}_1') \geq \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{N}_j|(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^n) = \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{N}_j).$$

Thus $\text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{N}_j|(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^n) = \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{N}_j|\mathcal{M}_1')$ for all j. Moreover, by Proposition 2.8,

$$\text{mrk}(\mathcal{N}|(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^n) = \sup_{j\in\mathcal{J}} \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{N}_j|(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^n) = \sup_{j\in\mathcal{J}} \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{N}_j|(\mathcal{M}_1') = \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{N}|\mathcal{M}_1').$$

Then by Theorem 2.7 and Proposition 2.8, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1|\mathcal{M}_2) \\
&= \text{mrk}_\Sigma((\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^n/\mathcal{N}) - \text{mrk}_\Sigma((\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^n/\mathcal{M}_1') \\
&= (n - \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{N}|(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^n)) - (n - \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1'|(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^n)) \\
&= \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1') - \text{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{N}|\mathcal{M}_1')
\end{aligned}
$$

$$= \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1'/\mathcal{N}) = \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1).$$

Case 2. $\mathcal{M}_1$ is finitely generated.

Write $\mathcal{M}_2$ as the union of an increasing net of finitely generated submodules $\{\mathcal{M}_j'\}_{j\in\mathcal{J}}$ of $\mathcal{M}_2$. By Proposition 2.8 and the conclusion of Case 1, we have

$$\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1|\mathcal{M}_2) = \inf_{j\in\mathcal{J}} \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1|\mathcal{M}_j') = \inf_{j\in\mathcal{J}} \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1) = \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1).$$

Now we consider the general case. Write $\mathcal{M}_1$ as the union of an increasing net of finitely generated submodules $\{\mathcal{M}_j'\}_{j\in\mathcal{J}}$ of $\mathcal{M}_1$. Applying Proposition 2.8 and the conclusion of Case 1, we have

$$\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1|\mathcal{M}_2) = \sup_{j\in\mathcal{J}} \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_j'|\mathcal{M}_2) = \sup_{j\in\mathcal{J}} \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_j'|\mathcal{M}_1) = \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1).$$

Suppose that Γ satisfies Juzvinskiĭ formula for mean rank. Let $\mathcal{M}_2$ be a finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module and $\mathcal{M}_1$ be finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-submodule of $\mathcal{M}_2$. Consider the quotient map $\mathcal{M}_2 \to \mathcal{M}_2/\mathcal{M}_1$. Then the conclusion follows immediately by Theorem 2.7. The converse direction also follows immediately by Theorem 2.7. □

Remark 4.3. Let L be a function as in [26, Lemma 7.7] satisfying all the properties (i)-(v). Then the corresponding result in Lemma 4.2 still holds without change of proof.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. If Γ satisfies Lück's dimension-flatness, in particular, for any finitely presented $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module $\mathcal{M}$, we have $\mathrm{mrk}_1(\mathcal{M}) = \beta_1^{(2)}(\mathcal{M}) = 0$. Write $\mathcal{M}$ as $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^n/(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^m f$ for some $f \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)$. Then a projective resolution of $\mathcal{M}$ can be

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} (\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^m \xrightarrow{\partial_1} (\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^n \to \mathcal{M} \to 0,$$

where $\partial_1 = R(f)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathrm{im}\ \partial_1) - \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathrm{im}\ \partial_1|(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^n) \\ &= \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathrm{coker}\ \partial_2) - \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathrm{im}\ \partial_1|(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^n) \\ &= \mathrm{mrk}_1(\mathcal{M}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

That means the assumption in Lemma 4.2 is satisfied. Applying Lemma 4.2, we have Γ satisfies Juzvinskiĭ formula for mean rank.

For the "if" part, we first have $\mathrm{mrk}_1(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ for any finitely presented $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module $\mathcal{M}$. By Theorem 1.2, it follows that $\beta_1^{(2)}(\mathcal{M}) = 0$. Since both $\dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\cdot)$ and $\mathrm{tor}_j^{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma}(\mathcal{L}\Gamma, \cdot)$ commute with the colimits [31, Theorem 6.7] [5, Proposition VI.1.3], we have $\beta_1^{(2)}(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ for any $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $0 \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{M} \to 0$ be an exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules such that $\mathcal{F}$ is free. Then a projective resolution of $\mathcal{N}$ induces a projective resolution of $\mathcal{M}$. So $\beta_2^{(2)}(\mathcal{M}) = \beta_1^{(2)}(\mathcal{N}) = 0$. Inductively we have $\beta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ for all $j \geq 1$ and $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module $\mathcal{M}$.

□

The following proposition was implicitly proven in [31, Conjecture 6.48]. For convenience, we give a proof.

Proposition 4.4. *Let Γ be a discrete group (not necessarily sofic) and H be a subgroup of Γ. Then for any $\mathbb{Z}H$-module $\mathcal{M}$, we have $\beta_j^{(2)}(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}H} \mathcal{M}) = \beta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$. In particular, taking subgroups respects the property of Lück's dimension-flatness.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}_* \to \mathcal{M}$ be a free resolution of $\mathcal{M}$. Since $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ is a flat $\mathbb{Z}H$-module, we get a free resolution $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}H} \mathcal{C}_* \to \mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}H} \mathcal{M}$ of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}H} \mathcal{M}$. Since the induction functor $\mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathcal{L}H} \cdot$ is flat [31, Theorem 6.29 (1)], we have

$$\mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathcal{L}H} H_j(\mathcal{L}H \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}H} \mathcal{C}_*) \cong H_j(\mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathcal{L}H} (\mathcal{L}H \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}H} \mathcal{C}_*)) \cong H_j(\mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma} (\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}H} \mathcal{C}_*)).$$

Thus by [31, Theorem 6.29 (2)], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta_j^{(2)}(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}H} \mathcal{M}) \\
&= \dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} H_j(\mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma} (\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}H} \mathcal{C}_*)) \\
&= \dim_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\mathcal{L}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathcal{L}H} H_j(\mathcal{L}H \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}H} \mathcal{C}_*)) \\
&= \dim_{\mathcal{L}H} H_j(\mathcal{L}H \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}H} \mathcal{C}_*) = \beta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{M}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

As a consequence of Corollary 1.4 and Proposition 4.4, we have:

Corollary 4.5. *If a subgroup H of a sofic group Γ fails Lück's dimension-flatness, then Γ fails Juzvinskiĭ formula for mean dimension. In particular, if $\beta_j^{(2)}(H) > 0$ for some $j \geq 1$, then Γ fails Juzvinskiĭ formula for mean rank and mean dimension.*

We refer the reader to [33, Section 5] for some discussions on L^2-Betti numbers of subgroups. Lück's dimension-flatness for amenable groups can be interpreted in terms of relative sofic mean rank.

Corollary 4.6. *Amenable groups satisfy Lück's dimension-flatness.*

Proof. By [26, Theorem 5.1], we know $\mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1|\mathcal{M}_2) = \mathrm{mrk}_\Sigma(\mathcal{M}_1)$ holds for any $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2$. By Lemma 4.2, Γ satisfies Juzvinskiĭ formula for mean rank. Thus Γ satisfies Lück's dimension-flatness. □

Corollary 4.7. *Let Γ be a residually finite group and $\{\Gamma_i\}_i$ be a sequence of finite-indexed decreasing normal subgroups of Γ with the intersection $\{e_\Gamma\}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_*$ be a chain complex of finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules. Then*

$$\mathrm{mrk}_j(\mathcal{C}_*) = \lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{rk}(H_j(\Gamma_i \setminus \mathcal{C}_*))}{|\Gamma/\Gamma_i|}.$$

Proof. Since residually finite groups satisfy Lück's approximation formula for L^2-Betti numbers [30, Theorem 0.1], by the similar argument as in [31, Lemma 13.4],

we have

$$\beta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{C}_*) = \lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{rk}(H_j(\Gamma_i \setminus \mathcal{C}_*))}{|\Gamma/\Gamma_i|}.$$

Then the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.3. □

References

[1] L. Bowen. Measure conjugacy invariants for actions of countable sofic groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (2010), no. 1, 217–245.

[2] L. Bowen and Y. Gutman. A Juzvinskiĭ addition theorem for finitely generated free group actions. *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **34** (2014), no. 1, 95–109.

[3] K. S. Brown. *Cohomology of Groups.* Corrected reprint of the 1982 original. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 87. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.

[4] V. Capraro and M. Lupini. *Introduction to Sofic and Hyperlinear groups and Connes' Embedding Conjecture.* Lecture Notes in Math., 2136. Springer, Berlin, 2015.

[5] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg. *Homological algebra.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1956.

[6] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein and M. Coornaert. *Cellular Automata and Groups.* Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.

[7] N.-P. Chung and A. Thom. Some remarks on the entropy for algebraic actions of amenable groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **367** (2015), no.12, 8579–8595.

[8] M. Coornaert. *Topological Dimension and Dynamical Systems.* Translated and revised from the 2005 French original. Universitext. Springer, Cham, 2015.

[9] W. Dicks. *Groups, Trees, and Projective Modules.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 790. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1980.

[10] G. Elek, The Euler characteristic of discrete groups and Yuzvinskiĭs entropy addition formula, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **31** (1999), no. 6, 661–664.

[11] G. Elek. Amenable groups, topological entropy and Betti numbers, *Israel J. Math.* **132** (2002), 315–336.

[12] D. Gaboriau and B. Seward. Cost, ℓ^2-Betti numbers and the sofic entropy of some algebraic actions. arXiv:1509.02482.

[13] Y. Gutman. Embedding $\mathbb{Z}^k$-actions in cubical shifts and $\mathbb{Z}^k$-symbolic extensions. *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **31** (2011), no. 2, 383–403.

[14] Y. Gutman. Mean dimension and Jaworski-type theorems. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **111** (2015), no. 4, 831–850.

[15] Y. Gutman. Embedding topological dynamical systems with periodic points in cubical shifts. *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* to appear.

[16] Y. Gutman, E. Lindenstrauss, and M. Tsukamoto. Mean dimension of $\mathbb{Z}^k$-actions. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **26** (2016), no. 3, 778–817.

[17] Y. Gutman and M. Tsukamoto. Mean dimension and a sharp embedding theorem: extensions of aperiodic subshifts. *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **34** (2014), no. 6, 1888–1896.

[18] Y. Gutman and M. Tsukamoto. Embedding minimal dynamical systems into Hilbert cubes. arXiv:1511.01802.

[19] M. Gromov. Endomorphisms of symbolic algebraic varieties. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **1** (1999), no. 2, 109–197.

[20] M. Gromov. Topological invariants of dynamical systems and spaces of holomorphic maps. *I. Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* **2** (1999), no. 4, 323–415.

[21] B. Hayes, Metric mean dimension for algebraic actions of sofic groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* to appear.
[22] B. Hayes, Fuglede-Kadison determinants and sofic entropy. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **26(2)**, (2016), no. 520–606.
[23] D. Kerr and H. Li. Entropy and the variational principle for actions of sofic groups. *Invent. Math.* **186** (2011), no. 3, 501–558.
[24] H. Li. Sofic mean dimension. *Adv. Math.* **244** (2013), 570–604.
[25] H. Li, and B. Liang. Mean dimension, mean rank, and von Neumann-Lück rank, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* to appear.
[26] H. Li and B. Liang. Sofic mean length. arXiv:1510.07655v1.
[27] B. Liang, *Mean dimension, mean length, and von Neumann-Lück rank*, Ph.D. thesis, State University of New York at Buffalo, 2016.
[28] H. Li and A. Thom. Entropy, determinants, and L^2-torsion. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **27** (2014), no. 1, 239–292.
[29] E. Lindenstrauss and B. Weiss. Mean topological dimension. *Israel J. Math.* **115** (2000), 1–24.
[30] W. Lück. Approximating L^2-invariants by their finite-dimensional analogues. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **4(4)** (1994), 455–481.
[31] W. Lück. *L^2-Invariants: Theory and Applications to Geometry and K-theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
[32] J. Peters. Entropy on discrete abelian groups. *Adv. Math.* **33** (1979), no. 1, 1–13.
[33] J. Peterson and A. Thom. Group cocycles and the ring of affiliated operators. *Invent. Math.* **185** (2011), no. 3, 561–592.
[34] J. J. Rotman. *Advanced Modern Algebra*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 114. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
[35] K. Schmidt. *Dynamical Systems of Algebraic Origin*. Progress in Mathematics, 128. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1995.
[36] C. T. C. Wall. Finiteness conditions for CW complexes. II, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A* **295** (1966), 129–139.
[37] B. Weiss. Sofic groups and dynamical systems. In: *Ergodic Theory and Harmonic Analysis (Mumbai, 1999). Sankhyā Ser. A* **62** (2000), no. 3, 350–359.

B.L., Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Vivatsgasse 7, 53111 Bonn, Germany
E-mail address: bliang@mpim-bonn.mpg.de